2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

			B/	ASIC PROGRAM	INFORM	MATION					
Program Website http://coe.louisiana.edu/											
Approval/Ac	creditation	Names of Agencies								Status	
,		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: So	Accredited								
		(SACSCOC)									
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher								Accredited	
Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accre						ditation of E	ducator				
	Preparation (CAEP)										
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (Undergraduate)									
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)								100%	
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2017-18)								3.2	
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)								3.4	
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled			Complete	rs		Total	
Completer		(2017-18)		321	321 1		121	,		442	
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females								
		Gender	35 286								
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	1	Black	Islander	White	Multi-Racial	
		Race	12	2	3		20	0	275	4	
		KNO	NLEDGE A	ND SKILLS FOR	TEACHI	NG OF C	OMPLETE	RS			
Knowledge Content Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)								100%			
	Pedagogical Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assess		sments (202	100%							
	Completer Pa	100%									
Clinical Experiences		New Option: Institution is Transitioning to One Year Residencies								Yes	
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching Student Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching								180	
		Student Teac	490								
Licensure Requirements		Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements									
PROGRAM PRODUCTIVITY AND ALIGNMENT TO STATE NEEDS OF COMPLETERS											
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	80% (n=97)								
Teaching in Public			& 2018-19								
Schools in Louisiana		Number o		Number &		nber &	Numb		Number &	Number &	
(2)		2013-14 Completers		Percentage Teaching in		entage :hing in	Percer Teachi	_	Percentage Feaching in	Percentage Teaching in	
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation		30		2014-15		15-16	2016	_	2017-18	2018-19	
Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		81%	7	6%	76	%	71%	69%	
interpret the meaning of these		(n=220))	(n=177)	(n=	=166)	(n=1	67)	(n=157)	(n=151)	
scores.)											

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.0 (n=577)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	5% (n=28)	18% (n=104)	32% (n=183)	45% (n=262)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.2 (n=577)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	7% (n=42)	57% (n=329)	36% (n=205)			
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.2 (n=577)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	0% (n=≤10)	15% (n=85)	52% (n=302)	33% (n=190)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
State Value-Added Scores for New Teachers	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19						
in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of	Mathematics	1.8 (n=57)						
Teaching by Content		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Areas (Ten or More New		7%	33%	35%	25%			
Teachers)		(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=20)	(n=14)			
,	Science	N/A (n=N/A) Ineffective						
(Please examine the 2020	(NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	Effective Emerging N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	The wastricking to NEW 1231,	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	-0.2 (n=41)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		12%	39%	34%	15%			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	(n=≤10) (n=16) (n=14) (n=≤10) -0.4 (n=53)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		17%	42%	25%	17%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=13)	17% (n=≤10)			
	ı	(11-310)	(11-22)	(11-13)	(11-310)			